

Service area: Property and Contracts

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

Directorate: Resources and Housing

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Lead person: Mani Thapa	Contact number: 273044			
1. Title: Request to Demolish 6 Garages Bruce Lawn, Armley, Leeds, LS12 1XY				
Is this a: Strategy / Policy • Service	ce / Function x Other			
If other, please specify Administrative				

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

The Report requests

The Director of Resources & Housing is requested to authorise the suspension of lettings, removal from charge and demolition of 6 garages and associated structures and debris at Bruce Lawn, Armley. Garage reference numbers G6 to G8 and G9 to G11. The garages are a focus for anti-social behaviour and Ward Members and the local community are supportive of demolition. The site is not suitable for redevelopment and this has been confirmed by City Development Housing Growth Team. The garages are in a serious state of disrepair and may contain asbestos. They are beyond refurbishment and there is no demand in the area for garages.

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?		Х
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?		х
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?	Х	
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		Х
 Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment Advancing equality of opportunity Fostering good relations 	х	

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to section 4.
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to section 5.

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration
If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.
Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).
A) How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (Think about: the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned – see Ai below) with those likely to be affected)
Consultation has been undertaken with the local residents and ward members and they are all supportive of the demolition and removal of the garages. Following demolition the area will be accessible to everyone to use for general parking purposes.
Ai) Is the consultation /engagement listed on Talking Point?
Yes
No If no, please give reason
B) Key findings (Think about: any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)
Demolition of the garage structures will remove the focus for anti-social behaviour which is mainly carried out by local children/youths. This negative behaviour impacts on community relations between the wider residents and young people. Reduction in reports of anti-social behaviour will impact positively on community relations and people's general perception of the area.
The garages are empty so there is no impact on any tenancies being displaced.
C) Actions (Think about: how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

The impact of the removal of the garages will be self-evident following the works. Feedback will be obtained from the local tenants and residents meeting for the area.					
5 If you are not already co	nsidering the impact on e	aua	lity diversity cohesion and		
5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.					
Date to scope and plan your	r impact assessment:				
Date to complete your impact assessment					
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)					
6. Governance, ownership and approval Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening					
Name	Job title		Date		
Mani Thapa	Investment Projects Officer		18 th August 2017		
7. Publishing This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.					
Date screening completed					
Date sent to Equality Team	n				
(cc Service Improvement Sect	ion - Linda Marsh)				
Date published (To be completed by the Equa	litv Team)				
	, ,	1			